UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

CRAIG LEROY ATKINS,

\mathbf{r}	. •	. •		
Р	et 1	t1	on	er

VS.

CIVIL CASE NO. 07-10547 HON. LAWRENCE P. ZATKOFF MAGISTRATE JUDGE STEVEN WHALEN

SEETHA VADLAMUDI et al.,

Respondents.		

ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

This matter comes before the Court on Petitioner's Motion for Reconsideration, filed on April 1, 2008 [dkt 29]. Petitioner challenges the Court's March 18, 2008, Opinion and Order adopting Magistrate Whalen's Reports and Recommendations, denying Petitioner's Motion for Default Motion and granting Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment. Pursuant to E.D. Mich. L.R. 7.1(g)(2), no response is permitted.

Local Rule 7.1(g)(3) governs motions for reconsideration, stating that "the court will not grant motions for rehearing or reconsideration that merely present the same issues ruled upon by the court, either expressly or by reasonable implication." E.D. Mich. L.R. 7.1(g)(3). The same subsection further states, "the movant must not only demonstrate a palpable defect by which the court and the parties have been misled but also show that correcting the defect will result in a different disposition of the case." *Id*.

Petitioner alleges that the Court's Opinion and Order contained a palpable defect; namely, that neither Magistrate Whalen's Report and Recommendation nor this Court's Opinion and Order addressed "the controlling law of *Youngberg v. Romeo*... wherein the Supreme Court pronounced the 'professional judgment' standard." By his own admission, Petitioner argued the same

Youngberg standard in "his brief in opposition to Defendants' motion for summary judgment and

in his objection to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation." Therefore, the Court finds

that Petitioner raises the same issues that were considered either directly or by reasonable

implication in Magistrate Whalen's Reports and Recommendations that the Court adopted on March

18, 2008. Therefore, Petitioner's Motion for Reconsideration is HEREBY DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Lawrence P. Zatkoff

LAWRENCE P. ZATKOFF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: April 18, 2008

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a copy of this Order was served upon the attorneys of record

by electronic or U.S. mail on April 18, 2008.

s/Marie E. Verlinde

Case Manager

(810) 984-3290

2